

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

ABRAHAM GLASPER,

Petitioner,

No. C 10-0589 PJH (PR)

v.

**ORDER OF TRANSFER**

KATHLEEN ALLISON, Warden,

Respondent.

This is a habeas case brought pro se by a state prisoner to challenge denial of parole. Petitioner was convicted in San Mateo County, which is in this district, and he is incarcerated at Corcoran, California, which is in the Eastern District.

Because petitioner's claim is about the denial of parole, it is a challenge to the execution of his sentence, rather than the validity of it. Venue for habeas cases involving state prisoners is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, the district of confinement is the preferable forum to review the execution of a sentence. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Dunne v. Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) and Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b), and in the interests of justice, this petition is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 19, 2010.



PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge